TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Town Hall

1 Heady Street

Cortlandt Manor, New York 10567

December 7, 2021

7:00 - 8:20 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Loretta Taylor, Chairperson

Thomas A. Bianchi, Vice Chairperson

Robert Foley, Member

Stephen Kessler, Member

George Kimmerling, Member

Jeffrey Rothfeder, Member

Robert Mayes, Alternate Member

Chris Kehoe, Deputy Director, Planning

1	December 7, 2021
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MS. LORETTA TAYLOR: Please stand and
4	salute the flag.
5	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
6	flag of the United States of America and to the
7	republic for which it stands, one nation under
8	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
9	all.
10	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Mr. Kessler?
11	MR. STEPHEN KESSLER: Here.
12	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kimmerling?
13	MR. GEORGE KIMMERLING: Here.
14	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Taylor?
15	MS. TAYLOR: Here.
16	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?
17	MR. THOMAS A. BIANCHI: Here.
18	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Foley?
19	MR. ROBERT FOLEY: Here.
20	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Mayes?
21	MR. ROBERT MAYES: Here.
22	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder?
23	MR. JEFFEREY ROTHFEDER: Here.
24	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Decker noted as absent.

1	December 7, 2021
2	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. This evening, we
3	have an executive session that we will have to
4	attend for a few minutes. So we're going to do
5	that at this point. When we come back, we will do
6	the changes to the agenda and move on with the
7	rest of the agenda for this evening.
8	MR. KESSLER: Madam Chair, I move that
9	we go to executive session at this time.
10	MR. KIMMERLING: Second.
11	MS. TAYLOR: Do I have a second to it?
12	MR. KIMMERLING: Second.
13	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. On the motion,
14	all in favor?
15	MULTIPLE: Aye.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay, very good.
17	[OFF THE RECORD]
18	[ON THE RECORD]
19	MR. KESSLER: I move that we come out of
20	executive session, Madam Chair.
21	MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
22	MR. KIMMERLING: Second.
23	MR. FOLEY: Second.
24	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. On the question,

1	December 7, 2021
2	all in favor?
3	MULTIPLE: Aye.
4	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay. Alright. Now
5	we've done the roll call. We're down to the next
6	item on the agenda, which is changes to it by a
7	majority vote. We are going to change the three
8	things on the agenda tonight. We will not be
9	hearing PB 2020-10, which is the solar power
10	system on 202 and Lexington, we will not be
11	hearing 1-16, which is a three-lot subdivision on
12	Revolutionary Road and we will not be hearing 6-
13	15, which is the Hudson Wellness application. Can
14	I have a motion to make these changes to the
15	agenda.
16	MR. KESSLER: So moved.
17	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
18	MS. TAYLOR: Second. Thank you. On the
19	question, all in favor?
20	MULTIPLE: Aye.
21	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alright. Can I
22	have a motion now to adopt the minutes of
23	November 3rd?
24	MR. KIMMERLING: So moved.

	Dago
1	Page December 7, 2021
2	MR. KESSLER: Second.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. On the question,
4	all in favor?
5	MULTIPLE: Aye.
6	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. Seems everybody
7	answered aye. Okay. Here we are. Now, the
8	correspondence, I think we have several items of
9	the correspondence, PB 2019, the letters dated
10	October 21st, and November 19, 2021 from Keith
11	Staudohar requesting the first one year time
12	extension of conditional site plan approval for
13	Custom Marine located at 301 6th Street.
14	MR. BIANCHI: Madam Chair, I move that
15	we adopt Resolution number 2121, granting a
16	three-month extension.
17	MR. KESSLER: Second.
18	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. The applicant
19	has been made aware that it is three months.
20	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
21	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. So, on the
22	question, all in favor of the three month
23	extension?
24	MULTIPLE: Aye.

1	December	7,	2021

2.3

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. Opposed?

Alrightie. Let's move on to the next item, PB

2019-16, a letter dated November 17, 2021 from

Ralph Mastromonaco requesting the fifth 90-day

time extension of final plat approval for Scenic

Ridge at Amberlands, property located on the

south side of Scenic Drive.

MR. BIANCHI: Madam Chair, I move that we approve Resolution 22-21, approving it.

MR. KIMMERLING: Second.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. On the question?

MR. KEHOE: Just on the question, Ralph, we talked about it at the work session. As you're well aware, it's more of sort of just like a lot line adjustment. There's not a lot of complexity to that subdivision, so the board was just wondering if you could wrap it up?

MR. RALPH MASTROMONACO: Yes, we'll be, our part this coming week.

MR. FOLEY: You've got to come to the mic.

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, could you just, sorry, could you just say that on the record? He

1 December 7, 2021 couldn't hear it. 2 MR. MASTROMONACO: Yes, we've made an 3 4 application to the health department, and we responded to some of their comments. We have all 5 the responses now. And there were some changes 6 7 needed to the plat. And we've responded to some 8 comments from the health department, and now we 9 are resubmitting our plat to the health 10 department for their approval and that would be the end of it. 11 12 MR. MICHAEL PREZIOSI: I think we're 13 just off, my recollection we're waiting on one or 14 two easements to be redefined as well, that may have crossed the lots. They just need to be --15 16 MR. MASTROMONACO: That's exactly what 17 we did. And it basically, Michael, it was we've 18 created a blanket easement over the lower lot for 19 all access and utilities. 20 MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. Great. So that's 21 what we were waiting on. Great, thank you. MR. MASTROMONACO: Yes. 22 2.3 MS. TAYLOR: Okay, that was on the 24 question. All in favor?

1	Page 9 December 7, 2021
2	MULTIPLE: Aye.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alrightie. The
4	next item is the adoption of the 2022 planning
5	board meeting schedule.
6	MR. KESSLER: Madam Chair, I move that
7	we adopt the proposed schedule for 2022.
8	MS. TAYLOR: Alrightie.
9	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
10	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. On the question?
11	MR. KEHOE: Just for the record, the one
12	that was posted on the internet, in case anyone
13	looked at it, we've made some changes to that. So
14	technically, the board is adopted the revised
15	one, which you got in the packet, and it'll be
16	posted to the town's website within the next
17	couple of days.
18	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. But the revised one
19	was on the website wasn't it?
20	MR. KEHOE: The old one that had some
21	different dates was posted to the public portion
22	of the website. We post all of the agenda items,
23	so people can see it before the meeting. But the
24	one that you have in front of you now is revised

1	Page 10 December 7, 2021
2	from that.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Alright.
4	MR. FOLEY: That's the one you sent us?
5	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
6	MR. FOLEY: Okay.
7	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Very good. So we have
8	a second. All in favor?
9	MULTIPLE: Aye.
10	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alright, moving
11	right along, as I said earlier, when I announced
12	the changes, PB 2020-10 will not be heard
13	tonight. Bob, would you please.
14	MR. FOLEY: This is on
15	MS. TAYLOR: That's the community solar
16	power on Lexington.
17	MR. FOLEY: I make a motion that we
18	refer this back and you had mentioned that the
19	applicant had requested an adjournment.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Right. Moving it to January
21	4th.
22	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
23	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much. On the
24	question, all in favor?

1	December 7, 2021
2	MULTIPLE: Aye.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alright. Now we're
4	moving to the public hearings. The first one here
5	is one that we will not hear tonight. This is PB
6	1-16, Steve?
7	MR. BIANCHI: No, I'll take it.
8	MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
9	MR. BIANCHI: Madam Chair, I move that
10	we adjourn this public hearing at the request of
11	the applicant to the January meeting.
12	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you, much.
13	MR. KIMMERLING: Second.
14	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. On the question?
15	All in favor?
16	MULTIPLE: Aye.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alright. Moving
18	further along on our agenda, the next public
19	hearing was adjourned from a previous meeting.
20	It's PB 2020-6, the public hearing, the
21	application of Palisades Enterprises, LLC for
22	site plan approval, a special permit and for tree
23	removal and steep slope permits for a proposed
24	2,940 square foot gas station and convenience

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

December 7, 2021

store with six fuel pumps on an approximately
1.7-acre parcel of property located at 2058 East
Main Street, Cortlandt Boulevard, the latest
revised drawings October 15, 2021. Okay, I'm
ready.

MR. JOHN CANNING: Good evening, Madam Chair and members of the board. For the record, John Canning with Kimley Horn, and it's good to see everybody. I hope you had an enjoyable Thanksgiving. We have received your consultant's letter dated November 22nd of this year, and for the reasons enumerated in my response letter to the board, it's my opinion that the suggested additional striping and hardscaping measures are unnecessary and not typical. But I have spoken to my client and if the board requests that these measures be implemented, he has indicated that they will be incorporated into the plan. So if the board has I guess, they could express a preference on that. It's our opinion that they're not necessary, but if the board feels that they have to be there, then please so indicate and we'll incorporate them.

2.3

MR. KIMMERLING: And where are you guys on the reduction in pumps that was also part of this.

MR. CANNING: Yes, I was just going to -

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay, sorry.

MR. CANNING: No, no, we can come back. So there was a comment also on the dumpster location that it might cause a bottleneck. Right now, it's almost at the back of the site, and we feel that it's not really an issue. But we could move it further back, and again, if the board wants it to be moved further back, we move it further back.

And another comment from the consultant was that the C-store should not have a major traffic generator, and I think we've made it abundantly clear that there will not be a major traffic generator and that we will submit to that as a condition of approval so that the town and the board is protected. And then the big issue I guess is the -- I'm sorry, go ahead, Mr. Bianchi.

MR. BIANCHI: Before you make that

December 7, 2021

3 4

point, you're saying that you're committing that it won't be a big issue? Is that what you said?

Not that you won't have it, but it will be -
this is the drive through we're talking about?

MR. CANNING: Who the tenant of the C-store with the drive through would be. So we won't have a Dunkin Donuts or Tim Morton, is that the guy, the deli kind of guy, whatever, there won't be a fast food high generator in it. We're committing not to do that and to submit to a condition of approval.

MR. BIANCHI: How could you enforce something like that? You're saying well, it won't be high volume. What's high volume, what's not high volume? What are you going to do through that if you don't have a concessionaire that's going to be providing on the go coffee or food or whatever?

2.3

MR. CANNING: So I feel confident that we can work with your staff to come up with language as a condition of approval that would not allow us to have a high generator independent, whatever the words are, operator.

2.3

Typically what you see at a lot of gas stations these days is like a Dunkin Donuts. They just put a new one in in Elmsford. So that's the sort of thing that generates a lot of traffic. That's what we're committed not to do. And I'm confident that we can come up with some language that you feel comfortable.

MR. BIANCHI: You're not looking for the staff to approve or not approve who goes in there?

MR. CANNING: I would like an approval that the building inspector can look at it and if the applicant puts in an application that's not consistent with what the approval says or comes back at some later date and wants to make a change to the plan and it's not consistent with what the approval says, the building inspector can say if you want to do this, you have to go back to the planning board.

MS. TAYLOR: Well, what is it that you think the applicant wants to put there? What bother to put it there at all? What do you want to see there?

2.3

MR. CANNING: He wants to have a standard gasoline station convenience store like a Stewart's or a Mobile station with a C-store. That's pretty common. That's what he wants.

MR. MAYES: Well, isn't it fair to say that your standard gasoline convenience store does not have a drive through?

MR. CANNING: That's true. But he, I mean we live in different times now and he would like to have the drive through as an option. That is correct. But he's not looking to put in a Dunkin Donuts or a high traffic generator. We're not even proposing an order board. So, if somebody that was a high traffic generator wanted to come back, I don't see how they could operate without an order board.

MR. ROTHFEDER: So this drive through thing, you think they're going to be a regular convenience store and, you know, this app thing is probably never going to happen for one thing. And so like why is it even needed? I mean people aren't going to come there and ask for a quart of milk. It just doesn't make a lot of sense. I mean

2.3

it's barely going to be used.

MR. CANNING: Well, then, why are we arguing about it?

MR. ROTHFEDER: Well, I mean, you know, it's a little problematic though because it adds another dimension to the traffic on the site. But it just doesn't make a lot of sense, especially if you're not having a board there, and you're not having a major concession in there.

MR. CANNING: Yep, well, all I can is well, you know, it's part of the applicant's desire for his business and I'm just his traffic engineer. I'm telling you that it's not going to make a difference from a traffic perspective and I'm not telling him how to run his business I guess.

MR. PREZIOSI: John, would it be simpler to state or say that this proposal is more akin to a curbside pickup scenario, where like a pull out area you may see at like a McDonald's or a Wendy's where you order ahead but park in a designated space and your merchandise would be brought to you, as opposed to necessarily needing

1 December 7, 2021 2 a drive through? 3 MR. CANNING: Well, I guess brought to 4 you if you hand it out the window and that's 5 bringing it to you I guess. 6 MR. PREZIOSI: But not necessarily creating or possibly leading to a Dunkin Donuts 7 in the future. I think that's the --8 9 MR. CANNING: We're all in agreement 10 that this application is not for a Dunkin Donuts 11 and there's no plans for a Dunkin Donuts or 12 anything like that. And if there ever was, that 13 the applicant would have to come back and deal 14 with the issues at that time, which is pretty 15 much the way the process works. 16 And Ralph just suggested we could have, 17 as part of the language that there would be no 18 sublease of the space in the C-store to a 19 separate tenant. 20 MR. BIANCHI: So would you get rid of 21 the drive through? 22 MR. CANNING: I've talked to my client 2.3 and my client has indicated that they want to 24 keep it.

1	December 7, 2021
2	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. I mean we may not
3	approve it, but yeah.
4	MR. CANNING: I understand. I
5	understand. Unfortunately, that's not where I
6	want to be either.
7	MR. BIANCHI: No, I understand.
8	MR. KESSLER: But originally there was
9	no window there. I mean he wants to keep it, but
10	originally the application didn't even have it
11	there.
12	MR. CANNING: The intent was to have a
13	window. The plan should have shown a window and
14	it didn't.
15	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Well, that's kind of a
17	sticking point I think for several members on the
18	board, including myself, and I don't know.
19	MR. CANNING: Okay. I mean I have
20	conveyed this message to my client, and so, and
21	he, I guess he understands where we're at. I know
22	you do. And then the final issue was
23	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, I was going to say
24	that's the easier of the two sticking points

2 [laughter] so.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. PREZIOSI: That's true.

MR. CANNING: Okay. So the final issue, I believe, is the elimination of vehicle fueling positions and I know your consultant wrote a letter and indicated that somewhere between I quess 62 and 83 trips would be eliminated by the elimination of two fuel pumps. And, first of all, that's 43 percent of the a.m. and p.m. trips and 54 percent of the Saturday trips, based on the traffic study that was reviewed by the same consultant, and eliminating two pumps is just not going to do that. And it's not going to have an impact on Route 6 traffic either, because whatever traffic is eliminated, most of it is already passing on Route 6, because gasoline stations are a convenience business. You generally speaking, try to go when you're on your way somewhere else. You're already passing on Route 6.

I would note that, and I've mentioned this before. You're probably tired of hearing me saying it. The project's traffic is going to be

1	December 7, 2021
2	reduced significantly already because there's no
3	left turn permitted in, which is good from a
4	traffic perspective, but it's bad from a business
5	perspective. And asking the applicant to
6	eliminate two more fueling positions is not going
7	to make traffic
8	MR. ROTHFEDER: He was actually
9	eliminating four.
10	MR. CANNING: He had two pumps, four
11	positions, yes.
12	MR. ROTHFEDER: Four positions, right,
13	yeah, yeah.
14	MR. CANNING: That's correct.
15	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. So it's one pump,
16	two positions? I mean that's what we have been
17	talking to you about actually.
18	MR. CANNING: Yes, yeah. Well
19	MR. ROTHFEDER: What do you feel about
20	that?
21	MD GRANITAG G
	MR. CANNING: So my client has indicated
22	they're not willing to do that either. The data
22	_

2.3

the signal, we're making all of these other concessions, all of the analysis that we've conducted demonstrates that this would be a lower volume, more space, it'll function acceptively.

And their position is they need to make a profit on this, they need to make up the money that they're losing from the left turn prohibition and to pay for the signal, and they can't afford to lose stuff on the margins and that's his position, unfortunately.

MR. KESSLER: John, the issue I don't think was a reduction in traffic by removing the pumps. I think it was a concern about having an adequate turning radius for the trucks.

MR. CANNING: So there's a couple things, okay.

MR. KESSLER: That's the issue. When you're arguing over whether it goes from 83 to 63 is not really the issue.

MR. CANNING: Right. Okay. So well, on the whole vehicle circulation, we have provided a plan that shows that the WB50 tractor trailer fuel delivery vehicle, whatever it is, can make

2.3

it around a fully parked site. So vehicle fueling positions, vehicles at all of the vehicle fueling positions, all of the parking spaces full and the truck can still make it around, in and out. And if the board still has concerns, we can tweak it a little bit more. I looked at it today, if we push the pumps a little bit further to the right, we'd provide a couple more feet of clearance to the vehicles. If we pushed the back a couple more feet deep on an angular shape, we could again provide a couple more feet.

The plans show that the truck can circulate around. And from what a practical perspective, what happens is the fuel pumps are all the way at the back, the truck driver pulls in, he's off the street, and then presuming that the way ahead is clear, because somebody could be driving or backing out of a vehicle fueling position, he proceeds to the back of the site and he stops there, he takes care of filling the tanks, and then when he goes to leave, it's just the same. If there's people driving around in front of him, he waits until the way is clear and

1 December 7, 2021 then he drives out. 2 So, A, we've shown that the truck can do 3 4 it, and B, the operator is going to only drive when he can drive. He's not going to drive over 5 cars, this is not some sort of Chevy Chase movie. 6 7 MR. FOLEY: But John, what Steve brought up, I was going to. The real issue is safety. Now 8 9 I know on paper, this looks good, what you're 10 proposing with that tanker truck and I don't know 11 how many gallons of fuel is in there. And you're 12 saying if everything goes perfect. Suppose that 13 driver is off a few feet or a car, and he turns a 14 little. Are you comfortable, I'm not, with 2.5 15 feet between the tanker and a person standing 16 there, or even 9.5 feet between the nearest pump 17 and the tanker. 18 MR. CANNING: I am --19 MR. FOLEY: 2.5 feet is from Tom, less 20 than Tom --21 MR. CANNING: Yes, yes, yeah. 22 MR. FOLEY: To me, it's a safety issue 2.3 and by doing what AKRF is recommending with going 24 to the four pump stations, with moving everything

2.3

December 7, 2021

down, just gives you more room, more safety, and we're spending a lot of time about the drive in lane and all of that, which is important. And moving the propane or the vacuums, but to me, the real safety issue when you look at this plan, yes, you've improved it with the circulation internally. It makes more sense. There is one issue I have there in front of the deli, where traffic, the red arrows are going in both directions. But again, I think you improved the plan and what AKRF has shown us in their November 22nd, to me, that's more palatable, more acceptable, at least to me, and I'm usually very critical.

And I think that we have your letter or someone's letter addressed that why didn't you bring all of this stuff up sooner. If you go back through the minutes, or watch the meeting, a lot of this stuff was brought up, at least some of it by me, and by the public and other board members. So I kind of took issue with that. I know you probably didn't write the letter, but you did.

But anyway, I think that the AKRF plan

2.3

is more doable, safer, and there are going to be different things that happen when you have all these cars coming in, some to get gas, some to go to the deli. Someone's going to make a swerve and be off a few feet and that sets everything off according to the best laid plans of an engineer and architect. And no offense, I have engineers in my family an architect. So that's my point.

And I'm concerned about that pinch point up there, as someone referred it to, I know AKRF referred it to as a pinch point. I'm more comfortable with the four pump stations, the eight fueling stations, the AKRF revision that they're recommending.

And just on the record, there was a record on, again, a little aside, from a resident. I guess it was entered into the record. I don't know who this is from Quarry Acres, sounds distant in a way, but he talks about the traffic and the concerns about gas stations, especially on the 6 corridor. And I think what this gentleman, I forgot his name here, I can't read it. I think it's important. This is what a

1 December 7, 2021 2 lot of people are talking about. And I know you have a right to put there, and you submitted a 3 lot of plans and work. I just think it's 4 5 problematic since the beginning. But maybe as a solution, this latest AKRF report, I was a little 6 7 disappointed in the beginning with AKRF but this is much better. 8 9 MS. TAYLOR: I would just like to say 10 too, I am not particularly in agreement with the 11 idea that this turning for the tractor trailers 12 is really working. I don't like the fact that 13 those red marks, I guess that's the, those are 14 the angles that would be used for turning the 15 tractor trailer. Those red dashes, isn't that 16 what that --17 MR. CANNING: That's correct yeah. 18 MS. TAYLOR: Okay. It's very close to 19 the back of four, five, six and seven. Those are 20 the parking spaces right there at the --21 MR. CANNING: Correct.

22

2.3

24

MS. TAYLOR: -- convenience store.

MR. CANNING: Mm-hmm.

MS. TAYLOR: Those lines, that turning

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

2.3

December 7, 2021

point there is very, very close to the back of these parking slots and I don't particularly think that's safe. I think, you know, all kinds of things could happen. It's a little better on the other side, but here I'm not particularly in favor of that. So you might want to think about dealing with this turning for the tractor trailers a little differently over here near, in front of the convenience store.

MR. CANNING: So, Madam Chair and Mr. Foley, I respect and deeply appreciate the concerns that you have and the work that you do on this board, reviewing every application that comes through here. We can make some minor modifications to this that will improve the turning some more. But I have spoken to my client and they've indicated that this is as far as they can go on this issue. I would remind the board that the gas station is existing. It has horrible access now. And by providing a traffic signal, it will have controlled access so you don't have to take your life in your hands when you come in and out of Route 6. And we're hopeful that the board

1	December 7, 2021
2	can see all of these issues and work with us on a
3	way forward to get this approved more or less in
4	its current form.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
6	MR. BIANCHI: Make sure your client
7	understands that what he's asking for he may not
8	get.
9	MR. CANNING: I
10	MR. BIANCHI: And on a previous point.
11	MR. CANNING: You are correct. I
12	understand that.
13	MR. BIANCHI: Okay.
14	MR. CANNING: And I think I've made that
15	clear to him.
16	MR. FOLEY: And also the whole premise
17	about well this will improve the situation with
18	the traffic with the red light there now if that
19	happens. That's true. It will also improve the
20	aesthetics, what's the mess that's there now.
21	MR. CANNING: Yeah.
22	MR. FOLEY: But still we have to look at
23	it, I look at it at least and some of us, from a
24	safety standpoint.

2.3

MR. CANNING: I understand, yes.

MR. FOLEY: And I just think that when you look at, maybe if the deli wasn't there and people were just coming in for gas, and they knew where they were going, they're not going all over. When you look at this, you know, I even have another further question looking at this AKRF's thing. When you come in off 6, there seems to be, well it's not up there, where the two, where the island is, it comes in, yeah, there, in the center, and then goes over to the right and around. I don't remember that in the original.

But I'm just saying it's a confusing, complex setup and I know you guys have tried hard. But I still have an issue with the overall safety of this plan, unless maybe we tune into, focus in on what AKRF is suggesting.

MR. CANNING: So --

MR. KEHOE: And -- hang on.

MR. CANNING: Yes.

MR. KEHOE: Along those lines, we should hear from AKRF. Maybe John can answer, but AKRF is here.

Page 3
December 7, 2021
MR. FOLEY: Oh, okay.
MR. KEHOE: To explain anything that you
want.
MS. TAYLOR: Before you go there, I
wanted to say this. I personally would be willing
to say, give you ten spots, as opposed to you
losing two, you just lose one, but you'd have to,
again, work this so that the turning lanes for
the tractor trailer is made safer than we see
now. I would be but I'm it's just such a
tight situation here.
MR. KESSLER: John, we talked about it,
and again, we talked about those upper pumps.
Perhaps making that one pump, more centrally
located is your, is the applicant adverse to that
as well?
MR. CANNING: The last time I spoke to
them, they were adverse to that.
MR. KESSLER: Would you mean losing two
fueling stations versus four?
MR. CANNING: Yes, correct.
MR. KESSLER: Okay.
MR. CANNING: Yeah.

1

24

2	MR. KIMMERLING: I just want to beat a
3	dead horse to death for a minute. I know that the
4	applicant really, really wants a drive through
5	window, but if they didn't have a drive through
6	window in the back, is it conceivable that the
7	convenience store could move to the left,
8	providing greater turn space for the trucks
9	rather than clipping the backs of those parking
10	spots? Do you know what I'm saying? What kind of
11	space would we have we, you would have to
12	move the entire convenience store back if we
13	didn't need the space for the drive around?
14	MR. MASTROMONACO: Okay. Can I answer
15	that for you?
16	MR. KIMMERLING: Please, I'm asking.
17	MR. MASTROMONACO: I'm sitting here and
18	there's too much confusion. Maybe I can help.
19	There does not have to be service to customers
20	behind this building. That is a road, a driveway,
21	that we normally put behind any gas station.
22	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.
23	MR. MASTROMONACO: There doesn't have to

be any service there. There is no service there.

2.3

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.

MR. KESSLER: Do you need it as big if there was no service there? As wide?

MR. MASTROMONACO: Well, in order to make that turn, yeah, it should be that -- I mean it's not that wide. It's 18 feet wide.

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.

MR. MASTROMONACO: It's a place where deliveries could be made, that type of thing.

MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah. That's fine, just a thought.

MR. MASTROMONACO: The idea, when we started this, there was a pandemic, and we were thinking at that time, that people would not want to go into the stores and this would be a place. But there was a thought to have any subleases or Dunkin Donuts. There never was any thought of that. Secondly, I think it's misleading to show that picture. I wish Chris could show the entire site plan and not this. And what you'll notice is, that's not even the entire site plan. I don't know if you have the, no, you're still -- there we go.

2.3

What you'll notice is that we tried to do this in the least amount of pavement as possible. We have a double wall system behind that gas station. I can take those two walls and move them back another 50 feet if need be. We're just trying to do all of this in the minimum amount of paving. If I took that back line and moved it back five feet or if I made that a single wall instead of a double wall, there's a sort of an aesthetic to having a double wall, you could plant between them. If I got rid of one wall, I'd have another ten feet back there very easily.

So I think, you know, we're leaving the rest of the property undeveloped. I can develop it, I can show more at pavement, I can do it. I think that given all of the runs we did on truck turns and so on, I've never seen a gas station where a tractor trailer can do what we're doing here. I've never seen one. Maybe Mr. Beattie can tell us where, what gas stations he's ever worked on where tractor trailers can turn around, around those pumps. I've never seen it. So we have it --

2.3

I think we have the minimum amount of pavement for the project, I can increase the pavement for the project. It's not a problem to us. I can make the walls, move the walls back. We have all that room in the back. So what we're trying to do is to show you that we can have that number of dispensers here, but if you think we should move that wall back to make more room in the back, we'll do it.

MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah, I think we're just trying to find out where, at what points there could be some give and compromise so that we can -- so it was just a question about moving it. I'm not saying you should. I just wanted to know what the options were.

MR. MASTROMONACO: Right. The client is -- the amount of money that this client is paying for this light on his own, which is going up 20 percent a month at this point, you know, I think that should be consideration and the fact that we're leaving 30, 40 percent of that property undeveloped.

MR. KEHOE: I think right now, the

2.3

December 7, 2021

building is 31.5 feet from the property line. And I think that would be rear yard setback so it has to be 30 feet, so it can't really go back that much further without requiring a variance.

MR. MASTROMONACO: Okay. Good, thank you.

MR. FOLEY: Well, that's why I'm wondering, Ralph, what Ralph just said, can you really move the walls back and how, I don't know what the --

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ KEHOE: Well, the walls are a different story.

MR. FOLEY: Well, what is the slope back there? I can't remember from the site visits, but why didn't you do it in one of your earlier --

MR. MASTROMONACO: Well, you're exactly right. What we tried to do is use the minimum amount of room necessary to make that gas station work with that number of dispensers. If somebody thinks they want us to put more room there, we'll put more room there. But if you look at the plan, Bob, you'll see how much room, you'll see how much land we have.

2.3

MR. FOLEY: I see it. But how much of it is buildable.

MR. MASTROMONACO: It's all buildable, yes it does go up, but we just put a taller wall.

MR. FOLEY: Yeah, it sure goes up.

MR. MASTROMONACO: We just put a taller wall. You can go back another ten fee easily.

MS. TAYLOR: Will moving this back, moving the wall back, create a safer turning radius for the trucks? In the way I'm looking at it, it doesn't look like it would affect that at all, you just move further back.

MR. MASTROMONACO: Well, you --

MS. TAYLOR: So --

MR. MASTROMONACO: Yes, it would allow the trucks to go further back to make that turn, but it doesn't need to. It just doesn't need to. If you look at the turning radius -- if you look at the turning movements of -- well, John can speak, I don't want to talk about turning movements. But if that looks constrained to you, if hat settles it for you, I'll move the wall back. I'll move it back ten feet, you got more

1 December 7, 2021 ten feet. Now what would your objections be? 2 MR. KEHOE: Well, if you were to move it 3 4 back ten feet, or whatever, you, concurrently 5 with that, you would have to show the board what additional steep slope disturbance and additional 6 7 trees, so they can weigh that. MR. MASTROMONACO: Well, Chris, what I 8 9 said earlier was, I have a dual wall system 10 there. I'll take the first wall down, same amount 11 of disturbance. 12 MR. KEHOE: Well you would have --MR. MASTROMONACO: But now you have a 13 14 big wall, you have a tall wall. 15 MR. KEHOE: All I am saying is if you go 16 that route, don't just come back with the wall. 17 You have to explain whether -- maybe if there are 18 no implications to it, I'll say there are no 19 implications. 20 MR. MASTROMONACO: Right. But we would -21 - the limit of disturbance would remain the same, 22 just the wall would be taller in the back.

Instead of the two wall system, it would be a

single wall system.

2.3

24

	Page 3
1	December 7, 2021
2	MR. KESSLER: Are you saying the two
3	walls are just an aesthetic consideration?
4	MR. MASTROMONACO: Yes.
5	MR. KESSLER: No engineering impacts?
6	MR. MASTROMONACO: No, no.
7	MR. FOLEY: And as an example, the new
8	Shoprite, Cortlandt Crossing, I don't know if you
9	were involved in it, but that two tier wall,
10	which came out okay, up to the bus garage, on
11	Route 6 there. Is that what you're talking about?
12	MR. MASTROMONACO: I haven't seen it.
13	MR. FOLEY: Alright.
14	MR. MASTROMONACO: But this is a
15	standard terraced system, where you plant between
16	the two walls and it was put there after all of
17	the turning movements were made. That's why it
18	was put there. Now I felt that if I came in there
19	and went further back that you'd have a problem
20	with that. So we kind of balanced it.
21	MR. FOLEY: We may.
22	MR. MASTROMONACO: To see if I can get a
23	tractor trailer truck in and out of that site,

which is a huge truck, if I can do that, why do I

24

1	December 7, 2021
2	need to go any further? And there is no safety
3	implication, Bob. These gas stations, they exist
4	all over the world. I never heard of somebody
5	MR. FOLEY: Well, you alluded to it
6	right.
7	MR. MASTROMONACO: I never heard of
8	somebody crashing into a truck and it blowing up
9	or anything like that.
10	MR. FOLEY: I didn't say that, but you
11	alluded to other gas stations.
12	MR. MASTROMONACO: Yes.
13	MR. FOLEY: Where, have you asked our
14	consultant, where else do you see where we've
15	left so much room for a tanker?
16	MR. MASTROMONACO: I'd have to
17	MR. FOLEY: I mean this is 2022 almost.
18	You're designing a new setup. Let's be safe.
19	MR. MASTROMONACO: I'd like to see any
20	gas station on Route 6 where you could take a
21	tractor trailer and turn it all around and come
22	back out of there.
23	MR. FOLEY: well how old are those gas
24	stations?

2.3

MR. MASTROMONACO: Without backing up.

MS. TAYLOR: Well maybe you'll set a precedent.

MR. FOLEY: This is a new application we're entertaining.

MS. TAYLOR: I really want to see this, when you come with the revised drawing of some kind, please put that turning radius marked with the red dashes in, all the way around and out, okay. This is if you intend to revise this.

MR. CHANNING: Yeah, we will. Just so that I'm clear. It already is on the drawings. It would change, obviously. But it already is all the way in, around and out. So I'm not missing anything in that regard, right? If you, moving the wall back, see my feeling is I don't think you're going to make much of a difference in when you have to bring that tractor trailer back around over there near the cars that are at the convenience store. But maybe I'm wrong, okay. I don't design turning radii. So, look, when you do the revision, just bring it back with this same - I want to see with my eyes how close this truck

1	December 7, 2021
2	would be to the backs of those cars
3	MR. CANNING: I understand.
4	MS. TAYLOR: at the convenience
5	store. And I don't know where the rest of the
6	members stand. I personally would be willing to
7	grant, me, just me, you know, ten positions as
8	opposed to the 12.
9	MR. CANNING: And I believe I've heard
10	that from Mr. Kessler as well if I'm not putting
11	words in his mouth.
12	MS. TAYLOR: Did you say that? See?
13	MR. KESSLER: I'm sorry, what?
14	MS. TAYLOR: Ten, you would give ten
15	positions?
16	MR. KESSLER: Yes.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
18	MR. KESSLER: One centered pump in the
19	back, yes.
20	MR. KIMMERLING: I feel the same way.
21	MR. BIANCHI: Me too.
22	MR. FOLEY: I'm still at eight unless I
23	see more room back there and the footage that you
24	have in your own memo about the spacing between

1 December 7, 2021 2 the tanker truck and a person and/or a fuel pump or car. And again, this is new. This is nothing 3 4 to do with past gas stations and what have been 5 designed and used in the past. 6 MR. KEHOE: Now that you've got all the 7 traffic straight in your minds, can we hear from our traffic consultant? 8 9 MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, I want to just 10 clarify one thing. So I understood what Ralph was 11 saying, because you were making the point that 12 there was never intended to bring in some large 13 concession and so are you basically saying that 14 you don't need that drive through space now 15 because, because as you said, you did that 16 because of the pandemic, but you just said that? 17 MR. MASTROMONACO: No. What we need is 18 we need the asphalt behind the building. You just 19 can't have woods there. 20 MR. ROTHFEDER: No, I understand that. 21 MR. MASTROMONACO: You can't have a

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

MR. ROTHFEDER: But I'm talking about

22

2.3

24

lawn, you know.

the window.

	Daga /
1	Page 4 December 7, 2021
2	MR. MASTROMONACO: The window is not
3	necessary.
4	MR. ROTHFEDER: You don't need it?
5	MR. MASTROMONACO: No.
6	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. I just want to
7	clarify that, because John was saying basically
8	it was necessary.
9	MR. MASTROMONACO: We would like to do
10	it. If somebody wanted to, in the future, wanted
11	to buy a quart of milk out of that store
12	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right.
13	MR. MASTROMONACO: that way.
14	MR. ROTHFEDER: But you don't care?
15	MR. MASTROMONACO: It really we're
16	not planning to do it. It was
17	MR. ROTHFEDER: No, but you're not
18	[unintelligible] [00:40:09].
19	MR. MASTROMONACO: It was optional for
20	us.
21	MR. ROTHFEDER: I mean you're not
22	planning, but with this proposal, it's okay if
23	it's gone? Well, you just said that.
24	MR. MASTROMONACO: Let me finish.

	Page 4.
1	December 7, 2021
2	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah.
3	MR. MASTROMONACO: If that makes a
4	difference to you, we'll take it out.
5	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. Thank you. That's
6	all.
7	MR. KEHOE: Wait, one other thing, sorry
8	Mike. Mike Preziosi had an idea about just
9	turning the whole think 90 degrees.
10	MR. PREZIOSI: We may have asked or I
11	may have asked this in a memo or previous
12	conversation, but if you were to rotate the pumps
13	and the building 90 degrees, push the building to
14	the back where the retaining walls are, use the
15	building as the retaining wall, could you not
16	have more land in order to accommodate your 12
17	pumps? If they run parallel to Route 6 as opposed
18	to perpendicular?
19	MR. CANNING: You'd like an answer now,
20	Mike?
21	MR. PREZIOSI: Well, I'm just asking. If
22	that could get your 12 pumps and provide you
23	better turning radii.
24	MR. CANNING: I honestly, I can't answer

December 7, 2021
that now. Possibly.
MR. MASTROMONACO: But I think maybe
that's something to look at.
MR. PREZIOSI: If I haven't asked that
question, I'm sorry I haven't.
MR. CANNING: Okay. Okay.
MR. PREZIOSI: Or suggestion, but just
as food for thought.
MR. CANNING: Okay. Let me make a note
of that.
MR. PREZIOSI: You know, also push the
heavier uses further away from the residential
communities, the pumping and the gas service, it
would keep them away.
MR. CANNING: I'm not sure I understand
how that you're going to put the building
behind the pumps?
MR. PREZIOSI: Yes.
MR. CANNING: So then the pumps will be
in the front.
MR. PREZIOSI: Correct.
MR. CANNING: That's not further away
from the street.

1	December 7, 2021
2	MR. PREZIOSI: No, but further away from
3	the residential community.
4	MR. CANNING: Oh, I'm sorry, I
5	misunderstood.
6	MR. PREZIOSI: I may have misspoke.
7	MR. KEHOE: Mike actually has a little
8	sketch over here that maybe he can show you at
9	some point.
10	MR. FOLEY: Do you mean move the deli
11	building, the proposed deli building back and
12	have no drive around?
13	MR. PREZIOSI: Correct.
14	MR. FOLEY: Oh, okay. That's good food
15	for thought. But, that is
16	MR. PREZIOSI: That may have been
17	considered
18	MR. FOLEY: thinking a little out of
19	the box.
20	MR. PREZIOSI: Well, that may have been
21	considered at the beginning of the process
22	between the applicant and the design
23	professionals and maybe not worked out, but just
24	this idea that may accommodate the 12 pumps. I'm

1 December 7, 2021 2 sorry I didn't suggest it sooner. I may have said it, I don't know. 3 4 MR. FOLEY: Thinking out of the box for 5 a boxed in plan here that we're wrestling with. Before we go, so I can clear this, what I brought 6 7 up earlier that from Route 6 by that island, the red arrows coming in and around, similar to like 8 9 down at Burger King down the road. Is that 10 meaning that if the car doesn't pull in the right 11 lane, into the facility at first, they can then 12 come around, and come in the next entrance? It 13 doesn't mean you're coming across the traffic or 14 does it? Across Route 6 traffic? 15 MR. MIKE BEATTIE: Just to clarify, 16 that's not Kimley Horn's, that's AKRF's quick 17 mark up so I could go through it, but that's not 18 what's proposed. So there's no confusion, they're 19 not proposing that. 20 MR. FOLEY: Okay. That's what I'd like 21 explained.

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

MR. PREZIOSI: But I can explain that

MR. KEHOE: Please for the record, state

22

2.3

24

whenever.

1 December 7, 2021 2 your name. MR. BEATTIE: Oh, Mike Beattie with 3 4 AKRF. We've been reviewing the site plan. So you 5 want me to go ahead? MR. KEHOE: Yes. 6 7 MR. BEATTIE: Okay. So while it's up here, I'll talk about the site plan real quick 8 9 and it sounds like overall, there's at least an 10 agreement that this is something acceptable. But 11 the site itself kind of caters towards a 12 counterclockwise movement, just look how the 13 driveways are set up to help at least facilitate 14 people not kind of crossing different directions 15 at the pumps. As you come into the site, we would 16 force everyone to the right to then get into a 17 fuel position. 18 MR. FOLEY: AS you come in from Route 6, 19 going west? 20 MR. BEATTIE: Yes. 21 MR. FOLEY: The first entrance then? 22 MR. BEATTIE: The first entrance, and 2.3 then even for that second entrance, that's a 24 right in only, see how it's curved in. They would

2.3

have to go straight, what I call north, towards the pumps and they couldn't take a left towards the convenience store.

What we're trying to do is try to prevent this kind of cross traffic conflicts, but you will notice that I did originally, we had a full counterclockwise movement and at the end, we changed it to at least show two ways between the store and gas pumps. And the reason being, if you were somewhere in the middle of those gas pumps and you didn't want to use the drive through, or you didn't want to go to the parking spot that's further to the north, instead of having to circulate the whole entire site again, at least you have the option to at least go right to the drive through from the gas pump or at least to a parking space.

So that's why we kept it two-way between the gas pumps and the convenience store. But the rest of it really kind of catered towards a one-way circulation.

And then the red triangle was more trying to think more how to at least force that

2.3

December 7, 2021

movement. Sometimes you do it with striping, sometimes it's hardscape, but at least it was to indicate vehicles would have to come in and immediately go to the right and then they would pick a fueling position, as they move up the site. So that's the recommendations for at least not having two ways in all directions for each aisle on the site. So that was one of the comments that we've talked about.

And the other goes back to the fuel pumps. I don't know if you can bring up the truck turning diagram, and it's kind of been said, this is not about reducing traffic by any means. This is more about site circulation. We've talked about 2.5 feet. And the concern was not so much the 2.5 feet, but it looked like, if you take that, what I call the most northern western vehicle, that car is not pulled up all the way let's say into the pump. I've seen places where your gas tank, a car would pull further up, further to the west. So where their hood is right now in that picture under the canopy could actually be further protruding into the drive

2.3

aisle. You can see where it's lined up right now. Sometimes they can line up that way, but I've seen it lined up further where you kind of line up your back of the gas tank to the gas pump. In that case, that 2.5 feet is gone. So it was tight, it almost clipped the curb, as was brought up by parking space seven. Do both pumps need to be removed, maybe not. This was brought up. Maybe at least that one pump could be centered or you keep the one pump furthest to the east, but the way this is set up right now, those vehicles on the left side aren't pulled up fully to where a gas pump might be. So it gets even tighter. That was the driver behind removing that pump.

And unfortunately, they don't make pumps that are one sided, in which case you probably could have kept that one side of the pump, so that was where the recommendation came in to remove the pump and the site circulation.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Wouldn't it be better to place it in the middle rather than either east or west?

MR. BEATTIE: Yeah, it was more just to

2.3

keep consistent with their site. The middle or further to the right seemed to be fine. Perhaps if it's in the middle, maybe there's a way you retain where the dumpster is. I think that's a low hanging fruit where the dumpster is located. Just where it is right now, if a dumpster was loading, you could see some conflict. I think in the middle is fine, or keeping it towards that eastern edge is fine. I don't think there's one preference or the other.

MR. ROTHFEDER: I mean because part of my concern with the eastern edge has been again, not so much the technical distance between the fuel truck and the pumps, because again, you guys know from, you know what the standards are and what's okay and all that. But again, since the flow of traffic in this place is all coming that way and on that edge, just my concern has been there's just too much chance for problems back there with any kinds of cars coming in and leaving. So that's why the middle feels a little better to me.

MR. BEATTIE: I think if there was a

2.3

middle, then you kind of keep that
counterclockwise, at least a majority of the
site. I think the site operates cleaner. Again, I
always worry about with these larger sites,
traffic traveling in both directions, people
pulling in quickly, people pulling out,
especially when you have a driver that's in only
on that eastern side. It just seemed to like the
site kind of like dictated that itself, but then
the pump was the -- this turning radius was the
issue. Again, especially with the car not
actually fully pulled up to the pump.

And then I think the convenience store, we've already talked about it. There's a drive through there at the window, we thought at some point it could be something else that generates a lot more traffic than a convenience store. I'll defer it to Hal, but that should be worked out in terms of the language. But that was brought up as well.

MR. KESSLER: But if there was no drive through, going back to the other picture up there, then that would be one way in front of the

2.3

-- you have the arrow going up because you were concerned about the drive through window.

MR. BEATTIE: Potentially. It goes back to let's say you're in the southernmost position and then want to park at the convenience store, and you want to park on let's say the spot that's opened is spot seven.

MR. KESSLER: I see.

MR. BEATTIE: If you circulate, so I was trying to avoid circulating around the site again. Again, originally I thought the whole thing should be counterclockwise. But then something like that, if you're leaving the gas station, you don't want to have to circle around to get to a spot, and then miss the spot.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah, but then you're in contention with people who are perhaps pulling out from the pump.

MR. BEATTIE: Yeah. I mean it's still wide enough aisles. Like that movement can work, it's just there's a spot where it may be even worse that people are constantly circling the site over and over. So, we ended up changing it

	Page 5
1	December 7, 2021
2	to at least say, at least allowing that two-way
3	movement.
4	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Are there any other
6	concerns?
7	MR. BIANCHI: Pubic hearing?
8	MR. KESSLER: Yes.
9	MS. TAYLOR: Jeff, you want to go on?
10	MR. ROTHFEDER: Since there's nobody
11	from the public I guess who wants to speak,
12	right?
13	MS. TAYLOR: I don't see anybody here
14	from the public.
15	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Excuse me?
17	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, no, it doesn't
18	look like it.
19	MS. TAYLOR: It doesn't look like it.
20	MR. ROTHFEDER: Do we want to close the
21	public hearing?
22	MR. KEHOE: You guys are going against
23	what I always say, but you have the chance for
24	some maybe significant modifications, so maybe

December 1 December 2 just keep 3 4 yeah. 5 6 7 8 appreciate 9 willing, 10 incorporate 11 will talk 12 will television.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

December 7, 2021

just keep it open.

MS. TAYLOR: I'd say just keep it open, eah.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah.

MR. KESSLER: One more time, yeah.

MR. CANNING: So Madam Chair, again I do appreciate the input. We hear you. We would be willing, obviously, as I mentioned, to incorporate the suggestions of Mr. Beattie. I will take your message back to my client again. I will tell you that this is a well designed site. It's a big site. We can make it better, but we do -- it's my opinion that we have room to accommodate all of the activity in a safe manner and it just seems like we're over designing for the dumpster is going to be there, the truck driver is going to be there. Every fuel pump is going to be full, every parking space is going to be full. And frankly, even if that happens, what happens is one person goes and then another person goes, and the delivery vehicle doesn't go until he can go. But I'm going to take it away --MR. ROTHFEDER: You have to design for

1	December 7, 2021
2	that. I mean because you're, you know, we have to
3	design for that because that's your argument too
4	about not taking away pumps is that you want all
5	of those pumps filled, so we're all
6	MR. CANNING: We do, but it's unlikely,
7	just the law of averages says it's unlikely that
8	they're going to be all filled at the same time,
9	that the delivery vehicle is there.
10	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. We know that, but
11	we have to design for that. But anyway.
12	MR. CANNING: So let's see what we can
13	do and we'll be back to you next month, I guess.
14	MR. KEHOE: But John, if you're
15	agreeable to Michael's pork chop island or strip,
16	show that on your revised plan.
17	MR. CANNING: Of course, thank you.
18	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay, Madam Chair, I
19	move that we adjourn the public hearing to the
20	next meeting.
21	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
22	MR. FOLEY: Second.
23	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Alright.
24	MR. CANNING: Thank you everybody.

1 December 7, 2021 2 Goodnight. MR. ROTHFEDER: Happy Holidays. 3 4 Thank you. You too. MR. CANNING: MS. TAYLOR: On the question? All in 5 favor? 6 7 MULTIPLE: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay. Alright. 8 9 We're reaching I think at this point the final 10 item for tonight, a new public hearing, PB 2021-11 6, it's the application of Yeshiva Ohr Hameir for 12 a renewal of a special permit for a university, 13 college or seminary for property located at 141 14 Furnace Woods Road, as described in a letter 15 dated October 13, 2021 from David Steinmetz, 16 Esquire and as shown on a 3-page set of drawings 17 entitled Site Plan prepared by Ciarcia 18 Engineering, P.C. latest revision June 19, 2014. 19 Yes. 20 MR. DAN RICHMOND: Thank you, Madam 21 Chair. Good evening. For the record, my name is 22 Dan Richmond. I'm a partner with the law firm 2.3 Zarin & Steinmetz, here on behalf of Yeshiva Ohr

Hemeir. With me this evening is Dan Ciarcia, the

24

1

December 7, 2021

2 3 project engineer and planning consultant, Yaakov Rothberg, with the Yeshiva, David Wald, also with

As you recall, the board originally

4

the Yeshiva.

5

granted the Yeshiva a special permit, pursuant to 6

7

resolution number 1-10, and renewed it most

recently by 4-19, which was adopted in February 8

9

'19. As your board knows, a special permit

10

action under SQRA, meaning it is not subject to

11 12

the environmental review regulations and is of

renewal such as we're requesting is a Type II

13

course held in the absence of material change of

14

conditions or evidence of a violation, a renewal

Here the use of the Yeshiva proper and

15

should be granted without unduly burdening the

16

applicant.

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

the onsite improvements remain the same. As such, we respectfully submit this special permit renewal is lawful and appropriate. The Yeshiva continues to work with and is in regular contact with the town's Department of Technical Services and Westchester County Department of Health on

the construction of a sewer. I actually spoke

2.3

with the Department of Health this week and they indicated that the final plans, he intends have his final comments hopefully this week.

We understand that once that is done, that the town will be able to complete the bid documents and place a sewer project out for bid and that following an award of the bid, and that following an award of the bid, construction on the sewer project can commence in the spring of next year, 2022. And the Yeshiva stands ready to connect to that sewer main as soon as possible.

We are aware that there was one complaint. That was a letter submitted by a neighbor complaining about the onsite septic system. First of all, I would say again, the special permit, and as I just described the connection to the sewer would eliminate any concerns about this septic system, but as I previously noted and as we documented in a letter provided to the town this week, there's no effluent on the ground at the time of inspection that would indicate a septic failure.

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

Nevertheless at the town's request, we did

2.3

conduct a dye test, no dye was subsequently visible on the ground, as would suggest a problem.

In any event, at the town's suggestion the observation ports on the septic fields has been capped and the pump chamber has been sealed with silicon to prevent water odor from emanating from it.

Otherwise, out of respect to condition number two of the board's resolution 5-16, we are unaware of any other issues or outstanding code violations, substantially or otherwise, and we respectfully request that the Yeshiva's special permit be renewed. We're happy to answer any questions that the board may have.

MS. TAYLOR: Are there any concerns from board members? No. Okay.

MR. KESSLER: Should we put it in the record we've got letters from the town?

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, there would be the normal letter from the inspection of the fire inspector, Holly Haight. There's also a memo from the code enforcement department. There was a

1	December 7, 2021
2	letter reference by Mr. Richmond from a neighbor,
3	Ms. Jersey and then the response to that memo was
4	from Dan Ciarcia, the project engineer. I believe
5	those are the pieces of correspondence associated
6	with this project.
7	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Alright. Steve?
8	MR. KIMMERLING: I'll just jump into the
9	breach, Madam Chair, I
10	MR. KEHOE: It is a public hearing.
11	MR. KESSLER: It's a public hearing,
12	you've got to see
13	MR. KIMMERLING: Oh, sorry.
14	MR. KEHOE: Would anyone like to speak
15	on this case?
16	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. We don't have anyone
17	in the audience who wishes to speak to this. So,
18	may I have the motion please for this particular
19	application?
20	MR. KIMMERLING: Madam Chair, I move we
21	close the public hearing and direct staff to
22	draft a resolution for consideration at the
23	January 4th meeting.
24	MR. BIANCHI: Second.

1	December 7, 2021
2	MS. TAYLOR: All in favor?
3	MULTIPLE: Aye.
4	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay. So.
5	MR. RICHMOND: Thank you very much Madam
6	Chair. Happy Holidays to everyone.
7	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. And before I
8	call for a motion to adjourn, I would like to
9	take a quick moment to, on behalf of the board,
10	wish the Cortlandt residents Happy Holidays and
11	to say a fond farewell to our supervisor Linda
12	Puglisi who will be retiring at the end of the
13	year, and we hope that she will spend a long,
14	happy retirement. Okay. So may I have a motion to
15	adjourn, please.
16	MR. KIMMERLING: 8:20.
17	[CROSSTALK]
18	MS. TAYLOR: You were really making the
19	motion at this point?
20	MR. KIMMERLING: I was. I was announcing
21	the time.
22	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you.
23	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. Thank you so
24	much. We are adjourned.
	ii

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on December 7, 2021 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: December 21, 2021

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018